

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/589,551	09/17/2007	Per-Ola Freskgard	FRESKGARD 10	8526	
1444 7590 04/07/2911 Browdy and Neimark, PLLC				XAMINER	
1625 K Street, N.W.			LU, FRANK WEI MIN		
Suite 1100 Washington, D	C 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		FRANK LU	1034	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	ldress
A SHOR WHICH - Extension after SIX - If NO pc - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA one of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13 (f) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Interface for reply is specified above. The mountement statutory period we record for reply is specified above. The mountement statutory period we record for reply is specified above. The mountement short period with providing the specified above. The mountement and after the mailing patent item adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.70(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) □ T 3) □ S	tesponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Se</u> in is action is FINAL . 2b) This ince this application is in condition for allowan losed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositio	n of Claims			
4) \(\times \) \(claim(s) 1-18 and 56-62 is/are pending in the a a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw claim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) 1-18 and 56-62 are subject to restriction	n from consideration.		
Application	n Papers			
10)□ Tr A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner ne drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) acce pplicant may not request that any objection to the c telplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctine oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine.	epted or b) objected to by the land of by the land of by the land of the land of by the land of the la	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)⊠ 1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau e the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage

Application/Control Number: 10/589,551 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment

1. The preliminary amendment filed on September 17, 2007 has been entered.

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- complementary single stranded identifier oligonucleotides are obtained prior to the step of partitioning the bifunctional complexes (claim 4)
- (2) complementary single stranded identifier oligonucleotides are obtained during or concomitantly with the step of partitioning the bifunctional complexes (claim 4)
- (3) complementary single stranded identifier oligonucleotides are obtained after the step of partitioning the bifunctional complexes (claim 5)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the

Art Unit: 1634

limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-3, 6-18, and 56-62.

3. This application further contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- (3) the identifier oligonucleotide linked to the display molecule consisting of a single stranded identifier oligonucleotide (claim 6-12)
- (4) the identifier oligonucleotide linked to the display molecule consisting of a duplex identifier oligonucleotide comprising complementary single stranded identifier oligonucleotides (claims 6-12)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-5, 13-18, and 56-62.

Art Unit: 1634

4. This application further contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- (5) the display molecule of a bifunctional complex provided in step i) is linked to a single stranded identifier oligonucleotide (claim 7-12)
- (6) the display molecule of a bifunctional complex provided in step iv) is linked to a single stranded identifier oligonucleotide (claim 7-12)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-6, 13-18, and 56-62.

5. This application further contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Art Unit: 1634

(7) said single stranded identifier oligonucleotides of the different bifunctional complexes are complemented prior to partitioning of the bifunctional complexes (claim 8)

- (8) said single stranded identifier oligonucleotides of the different bifunctional complexes are complemented after partitioning of the bifunctional complexes (claim 9)
- (9) said single stranded identifier oligonucleotides of the different bifunctional complexes are complemented during or concomitantly with the partitioning of the bifunctional complexes (claim 10)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowed generic claim. Currently, the following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-7, 11-18, and 56-62.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features

Art Unit: 1634

that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or
- (3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or
- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

Art Unit: 1634

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is (571)273-8300.

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0746. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen, can be reached on (571)272-0731.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Frank W Lu / Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634 April 5, 2011